UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,575	03/27/2006	Scott W. McLellan	McLellan 20	4833
MENDELSOHN, DRUCKER, & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 1500 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD., SUITE 405			EXAMINER	
			HERRERA, DIEGO D	
PHILADELPH	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/573,575	MCLELLAN, SCOTT W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DIEGO HERRERA	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 S</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8,11,12 and 16 is/ 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5, 9-10, 13-15, 17-18 is/are rejected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	/are withdrawn from consideration	1.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	🖂	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal   6)  Other:	Oate

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Claims 1 and 9 have been amended.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 8-10, and 13-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3, 5, 8-10, 13 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Claims Hoke, JR. (US 20050143049 A1), hereinafter, Hoke, and in view of Taylor, Jr. (US 6160481), hereinafter, Taylor.

**Regarding claim 1.** Hoke discloses a mobile phone set (abstract, title, fig. 1, and 3) comprising:

a personal Locator beacon transmitter circuit which transmits a beacon (¶: 10-11, Hoke teaches having transmitter of PLB nature transmitting at 406 MHz recognize by COSPAS-SARSAT satellite system) that includes an identification code selected from a serial number and a phone number of the mobile phone set (abstract, title, fig. 1-3, ¶: 12-13, 29, 31, 35, Hoke teaches PLB that transmit information signal with ESN or ANI); a microprocessor coupled to the personal locator beacon transmitter circuit (¶: 30, Hoke teaches the vast amount of configuration within a mobile device as to coupling between microprocessor and PLB transmitter) and configured to activate the personal locator beacon transmitter circuit only when there is no mobile phone service available and a user of the mobile phone set requests emergency service (abstract, title, ¶: 7, 25-27, 35; Hoke teaches as described in abstract, "The above actions being initialized by end users of wireless connectivity devices where regular wireless connectivity is not available.").

however the reference of Hoke may not disclose a short range transceiver coupled to the personal locator beacon transmitter circuit and the microprocessor such that the beacon includes emergency information received from the short range transceiver; nevertheless, Taylor teaches a wireless communication device with a short range transceiver with processor providing beacons with emergency information (abstract, col. 2 lines: 34-57, Taylor teaches short range transceiver and microprocessor including emergency information to beacon or monitoring system). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention of Hoke was made to specifically include the short range transceiver within mobile device to

communicate emergency information to monitoring system as taught by Taylor as one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to pursue the known benefit of including to the invention of Hoke the short range transceiver with signal to include emergency information executed by microprocessor of mobile terminal or PLB.

**Regarding claim 9.** Hoke discloses a method of requesting emergency service on a mobile phone handset (abstract, title, fig. 9, col. 6 lines: 4-18, 30-38, teaches a mobile phone set and/or PLB, personal location beacon) comprising the steps of: determining whether mobile phone service is available (abstract, title, fig. 1-3, ¶: 4-10; Hoke teaches determining means for use of wireless network communication and/or satellite communication system):

When mobile phone service is unavailable and a user of the mobile phone handset requests emergency service (abstract, title, ¶: 7, 25-27, 35; Hoke teaches as described in abstract, "The above actions being initialized by end users of wireless connectivity devices where regular wireless connectivity is not available."), transmitting, using a personal locator beacon transmitter circuit of the mobile phone handset (fig. 1-3, abstract, title, ¶: 9), a beacon that includes an identification code selected from a serial number and a phone number of the mobile phone handset (abstract, title, fig. 1-3, ¶: 12-13, 29, 31, 35, Hoke teaches PLB that transmit information signal with ESN or ANI).

however the reference of Hoke may not disclose <u>receiving emergency information from</u>

<u>a short range transceiver located in the mobile phone handset, wherein the beacon</u>

<u>includes the received emergency information</u>; nevertheless, Taylor teaches a central

remote area).

location that monitors for signals sent by the portable monitoring device through a network or short range receivers wherein the signal provides to the network medical information pertaining to emergency or types of emergency (abstract, col. 2 lines: 34-57, col. 3 lines: 10--col. 4 lines: 27; Taylor teaches short range transceiver and microprocessor including emergency information to beacon or monitoring system). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention of Hoke was made to specifically include the short range transceiver within mobile device to communicate emergency information to monitoring system as taught by Taylor as one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to pursue the known benefit of including to the invention of Hoke the short range transceiver with signal to include emergency information executed by microprocessor of mobile terminal or PLB for the system to provide adequate response to the emergency or situation type. Consider claim 2. A phone set according to claim 1, further comprising a global positioning system receiver circuit coupled to the microprocessor, the microprocessor further configured to include location coordinates from the global positioning system receiver circuit with the beacon transmitted by the personal Locator beacon transmitter circuit (fig. 1-3, title, abstract, ¶: 27-29, Hoke teaches GPS location coordinates sending with the PLB, personal location beacon, through means of a processor unit when in a

**Consider claim 3.** A phone set according to claim 1, Hoke discloses wherein the personal Locator beacon transmitter circuit transmits a beacon at a frequency of approximately 406 MHz (title, abstract, fig. 1-3, ¶: 11, 28).

Consider claim 5. A phone set according to claim 4, further comprising a microphone coupled to the personal Locator beacon transmitter circuit such that the homing signal includes voice transmission (¶: 31, 34, Hoke teaches receiving information such as digitized voice and text information with other pertinent data as to the emergency or special considerations).

Consider claim 8. A phone set according to claim 1, further comprising a short range transceiver coupled to the personal Locator beacon transmitter circuit and the microprocessor such that the beacon includes emergency information received from the short range transceiver (fig. 1-3, abstract, title, ¶: 29, 31, 34, Hoke teaches Bluetooth enabled device in use with WCD's, hence, short-range transceiver).

Consider claim 10. The method according to claim 9, further comprising obtaining global positioning system location coordinates, wherein the beacon includes said global positioning system location coordinates (fig. 1-3, title, abstract, ¶: 11, 31, Hoke teaches GPS location coordinates with the PLB transmission).

**Consider claim 13.** The method according to claim 9, Hoke discloses wherein the personal locator beacon transmitter circuit transmits a beacon at a frequency of approximately 406 MHz (title, abstract, fig. 1-3, ¶: 11, 28).

**Consider claim 15**. The method according to claim 14, wherein voice transmission is included with the homing signal (abstract, title, fig. 1-3, ¶: 31, 34; Hoke teaches receiving information such as digitized voice and text information with other pertinent data as to the emergency or special considerations).

Consider claim 16. The method according to claim 9, further comprising receiving emergency information from a short range transceiver located in the mobile phone handset, wherein the beacon includes the received emergency information (fig. 1-3, abstract, title, ¶: 29, 31, 34, Hoke teaches Bluetooth enabled device in use with WCD's, hence, short-range transceiver).

Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoke (US 20050143049 A1), in view of Taylor, Jr. (US 6160481), hereinafter, Taylor, and further in view of Holmes et al. (US 7162395 B1).

Consider claim 4. A phone set according to claim 3, However, Hoke does not specifically discloses wherein the personal Locator beacon transmitter circuit also transmits a homing signal at a frequency selected from approximately 121.5 MHz and 243 MHz; nevertheless, Holmes et al. teaches a system for testing devices functional attributes of having a 121.5 MHz and 243 MHz radio frequency (title, abstract, fig. 6, col. 1 lines: 20- 34, 45, col. 2 lines: 61-65, col. 3 lines: 6-12, col. 4 lines: 4-53, col. 5 lines: 14-17, Holmes et al. teaches a PDA or a handheld computing device that is tested for radio frequencies beacon which include 121.5 MHz and 243 MHz and 306 MHz as described in the references these are known frequency signals for distress alert and location data to assist search and rescue operations emitted by distress beacons). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to include these radio frequencies as taught by Holmes et al. for the purposes of, or motivated by making sure signals coming out of the device are transmitting at said frequencies to transmit pertinent information to rescuers and

authorities to location for help or aid, one skilled in the art would be able to add these features in said mobile device (col. 1 lines: 26-34, col. 3 lines: 20-53).

Consider claim 14. The method according to claim 9, However, Hoke does not specifically discloses wherein the personal locator beacon transmitter circuit transmits a homing signal at a frequency selected from approximately 121.5 MHz and 243 MHz; nevertheless, Holmes et al. teaches a system for testing devices functional attributes of having a 121.5 MHz and 243 MHz radio frequency (title, abstract, fig. 6, col. 1 lines: 20-34, 45, col. 2 lines: 61-65, col. 3 lines: 6-12, col. 4 lines: 4-53, col. 5 lines: 14-17, Holmes et al. teaches a PDA or a handheld computing device that is tested for radio frequencies beacon which include 121.5 MHz and 243 MHz and 306 MHz as described in the references these are known frequency signals for distress alert and location data to assist search and rescue operations emitted by distress beacons). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to include these radio frequencies as taught by Holmes et al. for the purposes of, or motivated by making sure signals coming out of the device are transmitting at said frequencies to transmit pertinent information to rescuers and authorities to location for help or aid, one skilled in the art would be able to add these features in said mobile device (col. 1 lines: 26-34, col. 3 lines: 20-53).

Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoke (US 20050143049 A1), and further in view of Sakurai et al. (US 20020183904 A1).

**Consider claim 17.** The method according to claim 16, wherein:

Hoke may not disclose the short range transceiver communicates with a black box

recorder of a vehicle; and the beacon includes emergency information received from said black box, nevertheless, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made and taught by Sakurai et al. (abstract, title, fig. 1, 6-8, ¶: 52, 56-57, 77; Sakurai et al. teaches ECU or better known as a black box recorder having short range transceiver device sending information related to distress or emergency). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specifically include ECU or better known as a black box recorder in vehicle having short range transceiver device sending information related to distress or emergency, as taught by Sakurai et al. for the purposes of sending information regarding distress or emergency (¶: 53). One ordinary skilled in the art would be motivated to apply the invention of Sakurai et al. as it enhances the invention of Hoke by providing communication between the mobile and the vehicles ECU or black box, since the invention of Hoke teaches Bluetooth and/or short-range communication it is seamless to communicate with a vehicle equipped with a ECU and transceiver to communicate with mobile device.

Consider claim 18. A phone set according to claim 8, wherein:

Hoke may not disclose the short range transceiver communicates with a black box recorder of a vehicle; and the beacon includes emergency information received from said black box, nevertheless, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made and taught by Sakurai et al. (abstract, title, fig. 1, 6-8, ¶: 52, 56-57, 77; Sakurai et al. teaches ECU or better known as a black box recorder having short range transceiver device sending information related to distress or

emergency). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specifically include ECU or better known as a black box recorder in vehicle having short range transceiver device sending information related to distress or emergency, as taught by Sakurai et al. for the purposes of sending information regarding distress or emergency (¶: 53). One ordinary skilled in the art would be motivated to apply the invention of Sakurai et al. as it enhances the invention of Hoke by providing communication between the mobile and the vehicles ECU or black box, since the invention of Hoke teaches Bluetooth and/or short-range communication it is seamless to communicate with a vehicle equipped with a ECU and transceiver to communicate with mobile device.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/573,575 Page 11

Art Unit: 2617

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIEGO HERRERA whose telephone number is (571)272-0907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Diego Herrera/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/LESTER KINCAID/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617